## THOMAS CASTELLANO, THOMAS MEDWICK ×, JOHN H. SHINKAI, and LEONARD BAILEY

Received April 21, 1980, from the College of Pharmacy, Rutgers-The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854. Accepted for publication July 14, 1980.

Abstract  $\square$  A study of bases in acetic acid and their differential titration was carried out. The overall basicity constants for 20 bases were measured in acetic acid, and the differential titration of five binary mixtures of variable  $\Delta pKb$  values in acetic acid was followed using a glass electrode-modified calomel electrode system. Agreement with literature values was good. A leveling diagram was constructed that indicated that bases stronger than aqueous pKb 10 are leveled to an acetous pKb 5.69, whereas weaker bases are not leveled but instead exhibit their own in trinsic basicity, with the acetous pKb to aqueous pKb values being linearly related (slope 1.18, correlation coefficient 0.962). A minimum acetous  $\Delta pKb$  of four units is required for the satisfactory differential titration of two bases in acetic acid.

Keyphrases □ Bases—differential titration in glacial acetic acid □ Differential titration—bases in glacial acetic acid

Glacial acetic acid is generally considered to be a leveling solvent for bases, but some weak bases are not leveled in acetic acid. Hall (1) used a chloranil electrode system to obtain acetous half-neutralization potentials and reported a leveling diagram that was a plot of aqueous pKb values *versus* acetous base strengths calculated from half-neutralization potentials. Critchfield (2) reported a similar leveling diagram, which was a plot of aqueous pKa values *versus* acetous half-neutralization potentials, and stated that a mixture of bases may be differentially titrated if  $\Delta p K_{acetous}$  is 4; however, he provided no data. Fritz (3) stated that a mixture of an aliphatic amine and an aromatic amine cannot be differentially titrated in glacial acetic acid and recommended using dioxane or acetonitrile as the solvent.

More recent work has shown that studies in glacial acetic acid are valid theoretically. Kolthoff and Bruckenstein (4) showed that accurate acetous pKb values may be calculated from neutralization potentials. These potentials can be measured with a glass electrode-modified calomel electrode system, which has been shown to function theoretically (5). This paper presents studies from which an accurate leveling diagram was constructed and from which a reliable  $\Delta p K_{acetous}$  value for the differential titration of mixtures of bases in glacial acetic acid was established.

## **EXPERIMENTAL**

**Chemicals**—All chemicals were reagent grade and were used without further purification.

**Solutions**—Acetous perchloric acid  $(\sim 0.1 N)$  was prepared according to the method of Fritz (6) and standardized against potassium biphthalate<sup>1</sup>.

**Apparatus**—The potentials of the cells were measured using a pH meter<sup>2</sup> equipped with a 20-ohm ( $\pm 0.05\%$ ) resister across the output resister terminals. A recorder<sup>3</sup> was set at 10 mv full scale and at a chart drive of 5 cm/min. The ground terminals of the pH meter, recorder, automatic constant-rate buret<sup>4</sup> chassis, and magnetic stirrer<sup>5</sup> chassis were connected

	Compound	Aque- ous pKbª	Acetous pKb	∆рК	Sharp- ness Index <sup>b</sup>
1	Urea	13.90	$-^{c}, 10.24^{d}$	3.66	
2	Theophylline	>13			_
3	2,5-Dichloroaniline	12.43	9.22, 9.17 <sup>e</sup> 9.48 <sup>d</sup>	3.36	0.38
4	p-Aminosalicylic acid	12.22	8.75	3.47	2.18
5	<i>p</i> -Aminobenzoic acid	11.50	8.04	3.46	4.58
6	Benzocaine	11.22	7.53, 7.60 <sup>e</sup>	3.69	6.92
7	Nicotinic acid	10.87	7.01	3.86	4.58
8	Isonicotinic acid	10.74	6.86	3.88	7.35
9	$\beta$ -Alanine	10.39	5.72	4.67	6.63
10	Triphenylguanidine	9.10	5.55, 5.57°	3.55	12.24
11	Phenanthroline	9.08	4.86	4.22	11.84
12	Asparagine	8.90	6.57	2.33	7.76
13	Pyridine	8.79	$6.21, 6.10^{d}$	2.58	9.67
14	N.N-Diethylaniline	7.39	5.56 <sup>e</sup>	1.83	
15	Triethanolamine	6.23	5.00	1.23	10.76
16	Tris(hydroxymethyl)- aminomethane	5.97	6.06 <sup>e</sup>	-0.09	
17	Benzylamine	4.67	5.95	-1.18	12.24
18	Atropine	4.15	5.93e	-1.78	
19	Tribenzylamine	-	4.87°, 5.40 <sup>d</sup>		14.86
20	Triethylamine	3.25	5.35	-2.10	9.59

Table I—Comparison of Aqueous and Acetous Basicity

<sup>a</sup> Data of Perrin (9). <sup>b</sup> Magnitude of the slope of the titration curve (10). <sup>c</sup> Compounds are not titratable in glacial acetic acid. <sup>d</sup> Data of Bruckenstein and Kolthoff (11). <sup>e</sup> Data of Medwick *et al.* (5).

to a common ground. The cell consisted of a 400-ml beaker with a ground-glass cover with openings for the electrodes and buret. The electrodes used were a glass electrode<sup>6</sup> (stored in distilled water) and a fiber calomel electrode<sup>7</sup> (stored in glacial acetic acid) modified by replacing the aqueous salt bridge solution with 0.1 M acetous lithium perchlorate.

**Procedures and Calculations**—The titrations were performed by dissolving ~0.6 mEq of base in 50.00 ml of glacial acetic acid. The electrodes were blotted dry and inserted into the beaker. The initial and final potentials were read from the pH meter, and the end-point potentials were taken from the acetous perchloric acid titration curve described by Medwick and Kirschner (7). The  $E^{0'}$  value for the glass electrode, using



**Figure** 1—Leveling diagram of bases showing aqueous versus acetous pKb values. Numbers used for each compound correspond to the numbers in Table I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Thorn Smith, Troy, Mich.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Leeds & Northrup model 7401.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Leeds & Northrup Speedomax.
<sup>4</sup> Sargent model C.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> SGA Magnestir.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Beckman 39099 E3.

<sup>7</sup> Corning 476002.

- word in analy near resource of stady of philippion of passes in analytic rest	Table II—Analytical Results of Study	of Differentiation of Bases in	Glacial Acetic Acid
---	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------

Mixture	Component, Purity <sup>a</sup>	pKb <sub>acetous</sub>	ΔрК	Sharpness Index	Recovery <sup>a</sup> , %
1	Triethanolamine	5.00	1.06	_	b
	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane	6.06			b
2	1,10-Phenanthroline	4.86	2.00	_	b
	Isonicotinic acid	6.86		_	b
3	$1,10$ -Phenanthroline, $102.36 \pm 0.63\%$	4.86	3.18	0.47	$107.51 \pm 1.06$
	<i>p</i> -Aminobenzoic acid, $100.93 \pm 0.63\%$	8.04		4.99	$93.14 \pm 0.63$
4	Tribenzylamine, $101.14 \pm 0.19\%$	5.03	4.14	3.47	$101.65 \pm 1.05$
	2,5-Dichloroaniline, $99.17 \pm 0.48\%$	9.17		1.01	$100.34 \pm 0.40$
5	$1,10$ -Phenanthroline, $102.36 \pm 0.63\%$	4.86	4.31	3.65	$100.84 \pm 0.39$
	2,5-Dichloroaniline, 99.17 $\pm$ 0.48%	9.17		1.02	$101.11 \pm 1.15$

<sup>a</sup> The mean and standard deviation are given for a group of three analyses. <sup>b</sup> Individual analysis is not possible.

tribenzylamine<sup>8</sup> as the standard, and the conversions of the end-point potentials to pKb values were calculated as detailed by Medwick et al. (5).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table I compares the aqueous and acetous basicity of the compounds studied. The acetous pKb values were calculated from the mean millivoltage value of three titrations. The variations in the millivoltage values ranged from 2 mv ( $\Delta pKb = 0.04$ ) to 13 mv ( $\Delta pKb = 0.22$ ). In this study, the two weakest bases, urea and theophylline, were not titratable, contrary to the report of Hall (1). Medwick and Scheisswohl (12) showed that theophylline cannot be titrated in glacial acetic acid.

The acetous pKb for urea reported by Bruckenstein and Kolthoff (11) must be considered erroneous since the titration behavior indicates that it is a weaker base than had been reported. Comparisons of other values determined by Bruckenstein and Kolthoff (11) are, with the exception of pyridine, weaker than those reported here. Comparisons of values reported by Medwick et al. (5) for three compounds agree very well with the results of this study, as the pK differences indicate: 2,5-dichlo-



Figure 2—Titrations of five different mixtures of two bases in glacial acetic acid. The individual curves are numbered to identify the mixture titrated using the same numbers as in Table II.

roaniline,  $\Delta pK 0.05$ ; benzocaine,  $\Delta pK 0.07$ ; and triphenylguanidine,  $\Delta pK$ 0.02. This agreement demonstrates the excellent reproducibility of the glass electrode-modified calomel electrode system and shows its superiority to the chloranil electrode system since chloranil is subject to decomposition, precipitation, and the formation of hemiperchlorates (1).

The leveling diagram (Fig. 1) consists of three parts: the horizontal or leveling line, representing the value (pKb<sub>acetous</sub> 5.69) to which strong bases are leveled; the inflection point (9.67), theoretically 9.24, the aqueous pKb value of acetate; and the line with a slope of 1.180 (correlation coefficient 0.962). Theoretically, the slope of the line is exactly 1.00 since there is no interaction of weaker bases with either solvent, water or acetic acid, and the intrinsic basicity of each compound is observed. The scatter of points may be due to the varied sources for the aqueous pKb values.

To determine the  $\Delta p K b_{acetous}$  value necessary for differential titration of bases in glacial acetic acid, five binary mixtures with differing  $\Delta p K b$ values were prepared (Table II). The individual titration curves for each mixture are given in Fig. 2. When the  $\Delta pK$  is two units or less, only one titration break is seen. At a  $\Delta pK$  of three units, two breaks are observed, but the quality of the end-point is not very good, as seen from the sharpness index (the magnitude of the slope of the titration curve) (10), and the analytical results show overlap. When the  $\Delta pK$  is greater than four units, better resolution of components and satisfactory analytical results are obtained since each titration break is sharper.

On the basis of this work, it is concluded that the minimum acetous  $\Delta pKb$  for satisfactory differential titration of bases in glacial acetic acid is four units. This conclusion agrees with the statement of Critchfield (2).

## REFERENCES

 N. F. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 52, 5115 (1930).
F. E. Critchfield, "Organic Functional Group Analysis," Macmillan, New York, N.Y., 1963, p. 11.

(3) J. S. Fritz, Anal. Chem., 25, 407 (1953).

(4) I. M. Kolthoff and S. Bruckenstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 79, 1 (1957)

(5) T. Medwick, G. Kaplan, and L. G. Weyer, J. Pharm. Sci., 58, 308 (1969).

(6) J. S. Fritz, "Acid-Base Titrations in Nonaqueous Solvents," G. Frederick Smith Chemical Co., Columbus, Ohio, 1951, p. 13.

(7) T. Medwick and E. Kirschner, J. Pharm. Sci., 55, 1296 (1966).

(8) "Dictionary of Organic Compounds," vol. 5, I. Heilbron et al., Eds., Oxford University Press, New York, N.Y., 1965, p. 3098.

(9) "Dissociation Constants of Organic Bases in Aqueous Solution," D. D. Perrin, Ed., International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry, Butterworth, London, England, 1965.

(10) J. N. Butler, "Ionic Equilibrium, A Mathematical Approach," Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1964, p. 158.

(11) S. Bruckenstein and I. M. Kolthoff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 78, 2974 (1956).

(12) T. Medwick and F. Scheisswohl, J. Pharm. Sci., 52, 843 (1963).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The melting point (uncorrected) was 93° [lit. (8) mp 92°].